Phil 2310 Fall 2010

Assignment 5: This homework is due by the beginning of class on Fri, Oct 1st.

**Part I.** In each of the following cases, determine whether the sequent is valid by either giving an invalidating assignment, or by giving some argument that there is none.

1.  $(P \rightarrow Q) \land (Q \rightarrow \neg P), R \rightarrow \neg P \models R \rightarrow (P \land Q)$ 2.  $(Q \rightarrow R) \rightarrow S, (U \lor R) \rightarrow Q \models (U \lor Q) \rightarrow S$ 3.  $(((Q \rightarrow R) \rightarrow R) \rightarrow P) \rightarrow P, P \rightarrow (Q \land \neg Q) \models Q \lor R$ 4.  $P \rightarrow \neg P, \neg R \rightarrow R \models P \land (\neg R \land S)$ 5.  $(P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow R, (R \land S) \rightarrow U \models (\neg U \land \neg Q) \rightarrow \neg S$ 6.  $\neg (P \rightarrow Q), R \land (Q \lor S) \models (R \land U) \lor (P \land \neg U)$ 

**Part II.** Assume that it is possible to construct a proof in  $\mathcal{F}_T$  from the premises P<sub>1</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>, P<sub>3</sub> to the conclusion Conc. Which of the following MUST be true? (The correct answer may be any number of these).

1) Conc is a logical consequence of  $\{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$ 2)  $\neg$ Conc is not a logical consequence of {P<sub>1</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>, P<sub>3</sub>} 3)  $\{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$  is a consistent set 4)  $\{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$  is an inconsistent set 5)  $\{P_1, P_2, P_3, Conc\}$  is an inconsistent set 6) {P<sub>1</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>, P<sub>3</sub>,  $\neg$ Conc} is an inconsistent set 7) {P<sub>2</sub>, P<sub>3</sub>,  $\neg$ Conc} is an inconsistent set 8) {P<sub>2</sub>, P<sub>3</sub>,  $\neg$ Conc} is a consistent set 9) { $\neg$ P<sub>1</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>, P<sub>3</sub>, Conc} is an inconsistent set 10) { $\neg$ P<sub>1</sub>,  $\neg$ P<sub>2</sub>,  $\neg$ P<sub>3</sub>, Conc} is a consistent set 11)  $\neg P_1$  is a logical consequence of  $\{P_2, P_3, Conc\}$ 12)  $\neg P_1$  is a logical consequence of  $\{P_2, P_3, \neg Conc\}$ 13)  $\neg P_3$  is provable in  $\mathcal{F}_T$  from  $\{P_1, P_2, \neg Conc\}$ 14) P<sub>3</sub> is provable in  $\mathcal{F}_T$  from {P<sub>1</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>, Conc} 14)  $P_1 \rightarrow \text{Conc is provable in } \mathcal{F}_T \text{ from } \{P_2, P_3\}$ 15)  $P_1 \Leftrightarrow$  Conc is provable in  $\mathcal{F}_T$  from  $\{P_2, P_3\}$ 16)  $\neg \text{Conc} \rightarrow \neg P_3$  is provable in  $\mathcal{F}_T$  from  $\{P_1, P_2\}$ 17)  $(P_1 \land P_2 \land P_3) \rightarrow \text{Conc is provable in } \mathcal{F}_T \text{ from } \{ \}$ 18)  $(\neg P_1 \land \neg P_2 \land \neg P_3) \rightarrow \neg$  Conc is not provable in  $\mathcal{F}_T$  from { } 19)  $P_1 \rightarrow (P_2 \rightarrow (P_3 \rightarrow Conc))$  is a logical truth 20)  $\neg \text{Conc} \rightarrow (\neg P_1 \land \neg P_2 \land \neg P_3)$  is a logical truth

Part III. Which of the above MUST be false?